## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

EAGLE INVESTORS,	)	
Plaintiff,	)	Case No.: 2:14-cv-00123-GMN-NJK
VS.	)	ORDER
BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., et al.,	)	
Defendants.	)	
	)	

On March 29, 2018, the Court granted summary judgment to Defendants Bank of America, N.A., successor by merger to BAC Home Loans Servicing, LP f/k/a Countrywide Home Loans Servicing, LP ("BANA") and Mortgage Electronic Registration Company System, Inc. ("MERS") (collectively "Defendants") because, under Bourne Valley Court Trust v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., 832 F.3d 1154 (9th Cir. 2016), the Northern Terrace Homeowners Association ("HOA") "foreclosed under a facially unconstitutional notice scheme" and therefore the "foreclosure sale cannot have extinguished" Plaintiff's deed of trust on the property. (Order 6:15–17, ECF No. 133). The Ninth Circuit has since held, however, that Nevada's homeowner's association foreclosure scheme is not facially unconstitutional because the decision in *Bourne Valley* was based on a construction of Nevada law that the Nevada Supreme Court has since made clear was incorrect. See Bank of Am., N.A. v. Arlington W. Twilight Homeowners Ass'n, 920 F.3d 620, 624 (9th Cir. 2019) (recognizing that Bourne Valley "no longer controls the analysis" in light of SFR Investments Pool1, LLC v. Bank of New York Mellon, 422 P.3d 1248 (Nev. 2018)). Moreover, for orders from this district that relied on Bourne Valley Court Trust v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., 832 F.3d 1154 (9th Cir. 2016), and were thereafter appealed, the Ninth Circuit recently began reversing and remanding such orders in

2017) (remanding to district court to permit reconsideration of the judgment pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 62.1 and

Fed. R. App. P. 12.1).

25